

# **EXHIBIT N**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**CHAIRMAN:**  
Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges  
United States District Court  
Middle District of Florida

**MEMBERS:**  
Judge John F. Keenan  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York

Judge D. Lowell Jensen  
United States District Court  
Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz  
United States District Court  
District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
United States District Court  
Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vrtil  
United States District Court  
District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen  
United States Court of Appeals  
Eighth Circuit

Robert A. Cahn  
Executive Attorney

**DIRECT REPLY TO:**

Michael J. Beck  
Clerk of the Panel  
One Columbus Circle, NE  
Thurgood Marshall Federal  
Judiciary Building  
Room G-255, North Lobby  
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800  
Fax: [202] 502-2888

<http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov>

January 20, 2006

**FILED**

**IN CLERK'S OFFICE**

**U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.**

**JAN 24 2006**

★

TO INVOLVED JUDGES

Re: MDL-1596-- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

*Latofia Crimes v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al.*, M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:05-1047  
(Judge Mark E. Fuller)

*Sanquirnetta McCray-Martin v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al.*, M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:05-1048  
(Judge Myron H. Thompson)

**P.M.**  
**TIME AM**

Dear Judges:

Presently before the Panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 is a notice of opposition to the Panel's conditional transfer order in at least one action before you in the above-described docket. The parties will have an opportunity to fully brief the question of transfer and the matter will be considered at a bimonthly Panel hearing session. In the meantime, your jurisdiction continues until any transfer ruling becomes effective.

If you have a motion pending – such as a motion to remand to state court (if the action was removed to your court) – you are free to rule on the motion, of course, or wait until the Panel has decided the transfer issue. The latter course may be especially appropriate if the motion raises questions likely to arise in other actions in the transferee court and, in the interest of uniformity, might best be decided there if the Panel orders centralization.

Please feel free to contact our staff in Washington with any questions.

Kindest regards,



Wm. Terrell Hodges  
Chairman

